

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1 REPORT HUMBER	SOUT ACCESSION NO.	3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NRL Memorandum Report 4156		
4. TITLE (and Subilito)		S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		Interim report on a continuing
STABILITY OF BETA LIMITED THERMO	NUCLEAR BURN	NRL problem.
{		TENTONEING ONG. HEFORT HOUSEN
7. AUTHOR(s)		B CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
Edward Ott* and Wallace M. Manheimer		
S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME, AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
Naval Research Laboratory	,	ARÉA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Washington, DC 20375		NRL Problem 67-0896-0-0
<u> </u>		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Department of Energy		January 28, 1980
Washington, DC 20545		6
18. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESSIL different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report)
		UNCLASSIFIED 15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
ł		SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		····
	. Name to a se	
Approved for public release; distribution un	ilimitea,	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	n Black 20, II dillerent fro	en Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
*University of Maryland, College Park, Mar	yland 20742	
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	i identify by block number;	
Controlled fusion Burn stability		
Dani stability		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and The burn stability of a thermonuclear		emined under the eccumntion that
a burn equilibrium exists due to the rapid	increase of loss rate:	with plasma beta once a critical
beta value is exceeded. It is found that pe	rturbations about eq	uilibrium generally result in a
rapidly damped exponential decay and a se	cond slow root which	ch can be either growing (unstable)
or damped. In the case where the slow ro	ot is growing, the po	ssibility that it can be stabilized
by feedback control of the rate at which n	eutral gas is fed into	the system is considered.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

STABILITY OF BETA LIMITED THERMONUCLEAR BURN

Plasma heating by fusion products increases with temperature. Thus, once the ignition point is exceeded, in order to establish a thermonuclear burn equilibrium, it is necessary that plasma losses increase sufficiently rapidly with temperature. In this note we examine the implications of the hypothesis that such an equilibrium exists due to a rapid increase in losses with plasma beta once a critical value of beta is exceeded. For example, in tokamaks such a critical beta might result due to the onset of magnetohydrodynamic instabilities. Since generally accepted theoretical models for anomalous plasma loss in such devices as tokamaks are not available, we shall try to make as few assumptions as possible regarding the dependences of loss rates on plasma parameters except for the existence of a critical beta.

We utilize the following zero dimensional model equations for a deuterium-tritium mixture,

$$\dot{N}_{\alpha} = -\gamma_{N}^{\alpha} N_{\alpha} + \beta N_{D} N_{T}, \qquad (1a)$$

$$\dot{N}_D = -\gamma_N^D N_D + S_D - \beta N_D N_T , \qquad (1b)$$

$$\dot{N}_{T} = -\gamma_{N}^{T} N_{T} + S_{T} - \beta N_{D} N_{T}, \qquad (1c)$$

Note: Manuscript submitted November 21, 1979.

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}} = -\gamma_{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathbf{T}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathbf{D}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{T}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{E}}^{\alpha} \mathbf{N}_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{T}} + \beta \mathbf{E}_{\alpha} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{T}}, \quad (1d)$$

where N_{σ} is the particle number of species σ (σ = σ , D, T, e for alpha particles, deuterons, tritons, and electrons), γ_N^{σ} is the loss rate of particles for species σ , γ_E^{σ} is the energy loss rate for species σ , $\beta(T)$ is the deuterium-tritium thermonuclear rate coefficient, E_{σ} is the alpha particle creation energy, $E = (N_e + N_D + N_T + N_{\sigma})T$ is the thermal particle energy content of the plasma, T is the temperature which has been taken to be equal for all particles (this is approximately true if the equilibration times are sufficiently short compared to the confinement time), and the dot denotes time derivitive. Ohmic heating has been neglected in Eq. (ld) since its contribution is small at the burn point. The quantity S_{σ} denotes the rate at which particles are supplied from an external neutral gas input (the effect of recycling of particles transported to the edge may be absorbed in the coefficient γ_N^{σ}).

Assuming $\gamma_N^D = \gamma_N^T$ and $S_D = S_T$, Eqs. (1b) and (1c) imply that $(N_D - N_T) \sim \exp(-\int^t \gamma_N^D \,dt)$. Thus under these circumstances it is reasonable to take $N_D = N_T$ and eliminate Eq. (1c). Assuming $N_\alpha << N_e, N_D$, neglecting the term $\beta N_D N_T$ on the right hand side of (1b), and utilizing the neutrality condition, $N_e = N_D + N_T + 2N_\alpha$, we obtain from Eqs. (1)

$$\dot{N}_{D} = -\gamma_{N}^{D} N_{D} + S_{D} , \qquad (2a)$$

$$\dot{T} = -\left(\gamma_e + \frac{S_D}{N_D}\right)T + \beta e_{\alpha}N_D, \qquad (2b)$$

where $e_{\alpha} \equiv E_{\alpha}/4$ and $\gamma_{e} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{E}^{e} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{E}^{D} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{E}^{T} - \gamma_{N}^{D}$. In addition, we shall allow for feedback control of the external gas puffing rate, S_{D} . We assume that, based upon sensing of the particle number, N_{D} , the gas supply rate is adjusted,

$$\dot{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{D}} = - \mathbf{v} \left[\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{D}}) \right] , \qquad (2c)$$

where ν^{-1} is a time constant. This time constant reflects the fact that the control system will have a finite response time, as well as the fact that a particle supplied at the edge will take a finite time to diffuse into the reacting core plasma region. The latter time is probably of the order of $\binom{D}{N}^{-1}$ and sets a upper limit on ν . For simplicity we shall, in what follows, neglect any T or N_D dependence of ν .

From Eqs. (2a) and (2b) the equilibrium burn condition is

$$\bar{S}_{D} = \bar{\gamma}_{N}^{D} \bar{N}_{D}, \qquad (3a)$$

$$e_{\alpha} \tilde{\beta} \tilde{N}_{D} = (\tilde{\gamma}_{e} + \tilde{S}_{D}/\tilde{N}_{D})\tilde{T}$$
, (3b)

where the overbar denotes equilibrium. Linearizing Eqs. (2) for perturbations about this equilibrium of the form exp $(p\overline{\gamma}_N^Dt)$ we obtain

$$(p + 1 + \alpha_1) [p + (\gamma + 1) (1 - \alpha_5) + \gamma \alpha_4] (p + \nu) +$$

$$\alpha_2 \alpha_6 \nu - \alpha_2 (p + \nu) (\gamma \alpha_3 - \gamma - 2) + \alpha_6 \nu [p + (\gamma + 1) (1 - \alpha_5) + \gamma \alpha_4] = 0,$$

$$(4)$$

where

$$\gamma = \overline{\gamma}_e/\overline{\gamma}_N^D, \ \nu = \overline{\nu}/\overline{\gamma}_N^D, \ \alpha_1 = (\overline{N}_D/\overline{\gamma}_N^D) \ \partial \gamma_N^D/\partial N_D, \ \alpha_2 = (\overline{T}/\overline{\gamma}_N^D) \ \partial \gamma_N^D/\gamma T,$$

$$\alpha_3 = (\overline{N}_D/\overline{\gamma}_e) \ \partial \gamma_e/\partial N_D, \ \alpha_4 = (\overline{T}/\overline{\gamma}_e) \ \partial \gamma_e/\partial T, \ \alpha_5 = (\overline{T}/\overline{\beta}) d\beta(T)/dT, \ \text{and}$$

$$\alpha_6 = (\overline{N}_D/\overline{S}_D) \ dF(N_D)/dN_D. \ \text{In the case where there is no feedback and } S_D$$
 is held constant independent of plasma parameters, Eq.(4) becomes (v+0)
$$(p+1+\alpha_1) \quad [p+(\gamma+1) \quad (1-\alpha_5)+\gamma\alpha_4] - \alpha_2(\gamma\alpha_3-\gamma-2) = 0.$$
 (5)

We now consider a special case: there is a rapid increase of energy loss (γ_e) past the critical value of β , but the particle loss rate (γ_N^D) is relatively uneffected (this situation might result from the onset of magnetic field braiding in a tokamak).

In this case

$$\alpha_3 \sim \alpha_4 >> \alpha_1 \sim \alpha_2 \sim 1 \tag{6}$$

(Note that since the α terms are derivative of logarithms with respect to logarithms, much greater than inequalities, such as (6), are in actual situations likely to be marginal at best. Thus the case which we consider should be regarded as illustrative only.)

For this situation, Eqs. (5) and (6) show that without feedback there are two roots $p = p_1$, p_2 ,

$$P_1 \cong - \gamma \alpha_{\ell}$$
 , (7a)

$$P_2 \stackrel{\Delta}{\simeq} (\alpha_2 \alpha_3 / \alpha_4) - (1 + \alpha_1). \tag{7b}$$

The p_1 root represents a rapidly damped solution $(-p_1 >> |p_2|)$. The root p_2 can be either damped or growing depending on the signs of α_2 and α_1 and the relative magnitudes of the α coefficients. We now consider the possibility of stabilizing the root p_2 by feedback (for the case $p_2 > 0$). Applying (6) and (4) we find that stability results if both

$$v > p_2 \tilde{\gamma}_N^D$$
 and $dF/dN_D > p_2 \tilde{\gamma}_N^D$, (8)

and the burn is unstable otherwise. Thus we see that stabilization occurs if the system response time is less than the instability growth time, and if, in addition, the feedback is atrong enough $(\mathrm{d}F/\mathrm{dN}_D > \mathrm{p}_2 \bar{\gamma}_N^D). \quad \text{Since a lower limit on } \gamma \text{ results from the finite time for a particle supplied at the edge to reach the reacting core, it is not clear that (8) can be satisfied.}$

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy.

ASSESSMENT OF	for White	Seelles V	Y
996 	Buff	Section ()	l
METYFICAT			1
			1
MALE A	MALAYALA		ł
A			1
B		I	ł